UNITED STATES FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

In the Matter of

FAMILY BROADCASTING, INC.

Order to Show Cause Why the Licenses
for Stations WSTX(AM) and WSTX-FM,
Christiansted, U.S. Virgin Islands,
Should Not Be Revoked

FCC-OALJ KOD

Volume:

1

Pages:

1 through 11

Place:

Washington, D.C.

Date:

April 3, 2001

HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters
1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005-4018
(202) 628-4888
hrc@concentric.net

ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

| FAMILY BROADCASTING, INC. |) | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|------|-------|-----|-------|
| |) | | | | |
| Order to Show Cause Why the Licenses |) | EB D | ocket | No. | 01-39 |
| for Stations WSTX(AM) and WSTX-FM, |) | | | | |
| Christiansted, U.S. Virgin Islands, |) | | | | |
| Should Not Be Revoked |) | | | | |

Federal Communications Commission Room TWA-363 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Tuesday, April 3, 2001

The parties met, pursuant to the notice of the Judge at 9:04 a.m.

BEFORE: HONORABLE Richard L. Sippel Judge

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Family Broadcasting, Inc.:

DANIEL A. HUBER, ESQUIRE 560 N Street, S.W., Suite 501 Washington, D.C. 20024 (202) 488-4505

On Behalf of the Agency:

JAMES W. SHOOK, ESQUIRE KATHERINE BERTHOT, ESQUIRE Federal Communications Commission Enforcement Bureau 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 (202) 418-1420

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | (9:04 a.m.) |
| 3 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's go on the record. |
| 4 | This is the first pre-hearing conference in the |
| 5 | matter of Family Broadcasting, Inc., EB Docket No. 01-39. |
| 6 | Good morning, everyone. I'd like counsel to |
| 7 | please note their appearances. |
| 8 | On behalf of the licensee? |
| 9 | MR. HUBER: Thank you, Your Honor. My name is |
| 10 | Daniel Huber, H-u-b-e-r, and I'm entering my appearance on |
| 11 | behalf of Family Broadcasting. |
| 12 | JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Will you be with former |
| 13 | counsel, Mr. Colby, or are you going to be it? |
| 14 | MR. HUBER: We are co-counsel on the case. |
| 15 | Mr. Colby probably will continue to be involved. I may |
| 16 | handle the hearing, he may handle the hearing, we may do it |
| 17 | jointly. |
| 18 | JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. So then for my purposes, |
| 19 | I've got two notices of appearance: one, Mr. Colby, |
| 20 | who has filed his, you're making your notice of appearance |
| 21 | today. I would ask you to also file one with the |
| 22 | secretary's office, if you would, please, in written format. |
| 23 | MR. HUBER: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 24 | JUDGE SIPPEL: And let it be clear on that notice |
| 25 | of appearance that you are joining with Mr. Colby. I have |
| | |

- 1 to know exactly what the status of all the counsel are in
- the matter. Do you understand what I'm saying?
- 3 MR. HUBER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 4 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. On behalf of the Bureau?
- 5 MR. SHOOK: James Shook.
- 6 MS. BERTHOT: Katherine Berthot.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Your name again, ma'am?
- 8 MS. BERTHOT: Katherine Berthot.
- 9 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. There has been filed a
- 10 status report which has been very helpful and I don't know
- 11 how much is going to need to be done today in terms of
- 12 business, but to set the dates.
- 13 Let me ask Mr. Shook or Ms. Berthot, is there
- anything that you want to say as a preliminary matter?
- 15 Is there anything you think I should be aware of? Are there
- 16 any burning issues for this morning?
- MR. SHOOK: No, there are no burning issues.
- 18 Basically, as you've said, it's a matter of setting the
- 19 dates.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Mr. Huber, anything
- 21 from your side?
- 22 MR. HUBER: I would just like to -- I'm not sure
- 23 if you were aware, Your Honor, but our client has filed a
- 24 request for transfer of control of the stock from the father
- of family to his children. I would like to note that for

- 1 the record.
- We are hopeful that once the deposition of the
- 3 children is taken, and the father, if necessary, that it
- 4 will be apparent that the new licensee was not involved in
- 5 any of the wrongdoing.
- 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: The new licensee prospective
- 7 licensee would be Ms. James Peterson? Is that right?
- 8 MR. HUBER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 9 JUDGE SIPPEL: I appreciate your letting that be
- 10 known for the record. I am generally aware of that from the
- 11 status report.
- MR. HUBER: Okay.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Which was, as I say, so good and so
- 14 thorough. And as the status report represents, you're going
- 15 to take her deposition and then the Bureau is going to
- 16 assess what their views are with repsect to the merits of
- 17 this potential transferee.
- 18 Am I hearing that correct?
- 19 MR. SHOOK: That's basically it, Your Honor.
- 20 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. All right.
- 21 I just want to let it be known that there are
- 22 two things that concern me about this case, without getting
- 23 into all the technical violations or alleged violations
- 24 which are laid out very clearly in the hearing designation
- 25 order.

| 1 | The first thing is that this was before a prior |
|----|--|
| 2 | judge before me, Judge Luton, and somehow or other Judge |
| 3 | Luton had been convinced that it was appropriate to issue a |
| 4 | summary decision in favor of the licensee and it looked like |
| 5 | his order, which I read I mean, certainly I've read |
| 6 | before coming in here, that he was relying an awful lot on |
| 7 | the good faith of these people in terms of what he was |
| 8 | telling him. And by "these people" I mean the licensee and |
| 9 | those who are associated with the licensee. |
| 10 | Secondly, there is an allegation or there is a |
| 11 | charge in the hearing designation order, as Mr. Colby points |
| 12 | out, it's technically he calls it an order to show cause, |
| 13 | and I am aware of that and I am aware that there is also a |
| 14 | difference between an order to show cause and a hearing |
| 15 | designation order, or there are distinctions, I should say, |
| 16 | maybe as opposed to differences. So if I refer to the |
| 17 | hearing designation order, please be aware of the fact that |
| 18 | I'm recognizing it as an order to show cause here. But be |
| 19 | that as it may, there is an issue set in this case of an |
| 20 | alleged or a substantial question as to whether or not there |
| 21 | has been a misrepresentation. |
| 22 | So I have to deal with that issue, so my point |
| 23 | being that just taking a deposition and everybody deciding |
| 24 | on your side of the table that this would be okay to do |
| 25 | doesn't necessarily meet my responsibilities in this case. |

- 1 So I want to be kept very carefully apprised as to
- what's going on in that respect, because we may have to take
- 3 some deposition testimony, maybe before me if it's going to
- 4 be contemplated that that's where you want to come out on
- 5 this.
- 6 I'm looking at it very closely. I'm very
- 7 concerned. I would be looking at it, perhaps, in a
- 8 different vein if it were going to be some outside party and
- 9 assuming all the other qualifications for a transfer could
- 10 be met.
- Does anybody have any comment on that or any
- 12 response to my reaction? None?
- MR. HUBER: No, Your Honor.
- 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. We understand each other.
- 15 That's fine. Let's move on to business, then.
- 16 Again, this status report has pretty well laid it
- out for me, so I'm going to pick up on what you've told me
- in the status report.
- 19 I'm going to give you dates, starting with the
- 20 hearing date and moving back, and you let me know if there's
- 21 anything that's going to be any conflict or any concern
- 22 about these dates.
- I think the July 10 date is an excellent date to
- have the hearing, which is a Tuesday, July 10, 2001. The
- hearing would be here in Washington, D.C. in this courtroom,

- and the estimated time is three or four days, so it should
- 2 be completed that week.
- Moving back to June 11th -- I have a June 7th day
- 4 on notification of witnesses and then a June 11th date to
- 5 object. In light of the nature of this case, I don't think
- 6 that those dates are really going to be too meaningful, but
- 7 in the event that there is going to be -- we don't know
- 8 right now sitting here today if this thing does go forward
- 9 to a hearing exactly what the witnesses are going to be, so
- 10 there may be some reason to have these notification and
- objection dates, so I'm going to put them in. For what
- they're worth, I'm going to set them, all right?
- June 4, this is a more critical date, June 4 will
- 14 be the exchange of direct case exhibits. Sworn written
- 15 testimony, which is optional, I've seen from the status
- 16 report that apparently the licensee intends to use that or
- certainly the Bureau is willing to cooperate with that
- 18 procedure and I'm all for it. List of witnesses. So it's
- 19 the standard type of exchange that we have here.
- 20 And then May 18th would be the completion of
- 21 discovery date.
- Now, I know that there are -- does anybody have
- any objection or any problem with those dates?
- MR. HUBER: Those dates are fine with me,
- 25 Your Honor.

- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Consider them set and
- 2 I will get the order out this afternoon some time.
- MR. SHOOK: It's going to impose a little bit more
- 4 burden on my partner here since I'm going to be out of the
- 5 country for part of that time, but I think we'll be able to
- 6 do it.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: That's all the more reason why it's
- 8 always good to see two counsel at the table, but have a nice
- 9 trip.
- MR. SHOOK: Thank you.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: I hope it's on something that it's
- 12 a topic of enjoyment or it's a reason for enjoyment or
- you're not going out of the country because you've got some
- 14 kind of a situation outside the country.
- MR. SHOOK: This is pure fun.
- 16 JUDGE SIPPEL: There you go. Excellent. Okay.
- 17 I want to be sure I'm not saying it the wrong way.
- 18 Now, my practice is, now that we're in the 21st
- 19 century, I've been using some of this e-mail to give
- 20 courtesy copies to counsel. It will save you a lot of time
- 21 and money, I would think. If you want to call my legal
- tech, Sheila Parker, and give her all the information, your
- 23 e-mail address, your fax address --
- 24 Are you with Mr. Colby?
- MR. HUBER: No, I have a separate address. I'll

- 1 give it to her.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. She's at 418-2800. And when
- 3 we get it, we'll put you on the list for the e-mailing and I
- 4 will e-mail courtesy copies, certainly, to counsel for the
- 5 Bureau and to Mr. Colby's office.
- 6 MR. HUBER: I'll have to let you know, Your Honor.
- 7 I'm not quite in the 21st century yet myself.
- 8 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right.
- 9 MR. HUBER: I will be shortly, I hope.
- 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you want a fax number? We fax
- 11 or we e-mail.
- MR. HUBER: Okay. Fax is fine.
- 13 JUDGE SIPPEL: If it's not too long a document.
- 14 MR. HUBER: But I will set up an e-mail account
- 15 soon.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, I think that's it. I have one
- 17 comment I want to make with respect to discovery.
- The Bureau has done an excellent job in getting
- 19 these requests for admissions out and I take it there's
- 20 going to be no delay with those.
- 21 Are you focused on those at all, Mr. Huber, or
- 22 have you talked to Mr. Colby about those?
- MR. HUBER: Yes, I have been in discussion with
- 24 Mr. Colby. His office was working on the responses. In
- 25 fact, he had hoped to have them filed yesterday. I'm not

- 1 certain whether they were not, they may have been, but they
- will be filed very shortly, if they were not.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Now, I mean, a response
- 4 to something like that, I'm not asking for e-mail or
- 5 courtesy copies on that kind of thing.
- 6 MR. HUBER: Right.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: But if you're going to be sending
- 8 letters back and forth or if there's any correspondence that
- 9 you want to put me in the loop on, talk to Ms. Parker,
- she'll give you an e-mail address or you can fax it to me.
- I prefer fax because I can pick it up easier myself if she's
- 12 not in the office.
- MR. HUBER: I prefer fax myself, too, for the time
- 14 being, Your Honor.
- 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: You and I are probably in the lower
- 16 end of the 21st century.
- 17 Again, I just want to encourage -- take back my
- 18 message to Mr. Colby and I'm sure he is, but I am
- 19 encouraging full cooperation on this. There's been all
- 20 kinds of concerns expressed about money and inconvenience
- 21 and all other kinds of things and the easiest way to get
- around that is to just cooperate with one another and I have
- 23 heard no opposition, I've heard nothing but positive things
- about going about getting answers in in a timely fashion on
- these requests and that's going to help things tremendously.

| 1 | Anything that can be done after if those come |
|----|--|
| 2 | in, I would ask Bureau counsel to look at them, both counsel |
| 3 | to look at them, with stipulations in mind to the extent |
| 4 | that it's at all possible, to the extent that it's workable. |
| 5 | In other words, the information gleaned from the questions |
| 6 | and the answers and the requests could then be recast into |
| 7 | stipulations or an overall stipulation that would make it a |
| 8 | much more pleasant experience for everybody in terms of an |
| 9 | evidentiary matter. It comes in as a much better exhibit |
| 10 | that way. |
| 11 | All right. I have a list of things that I've |
| 12 | noted down here in terms of what's been going on, but the |
| 13 | facts pretty much speak for themselves. There's no sense in |
| 14 | rewinding over those today. I'm basically satisfied that |
| 15 | we're going to get this case resolved on schedule, even with |
| 16 | Mr. Shook outside the country for a couple of days. |
| 17 | Enjoy your trip, sir. |
| 18 | MR. SHOOK: Thank you, sir. |
| 19 | JUDGE SIPPEL: We are in recess then until the |
| 20 | 10th of July, unless there is another need for us to meet. |
| 21 | Thank you very much. |
| 22 | (Whereupon, at 9:17 a.m., the proceedings were |
| 23 | concluded.) |
| 24 | // |
| 25 | // |

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

FCC DOCKET NO.: 01-39

CASE TITLE:

IN RE: FAMILY BROADCASTING, INC.

HEARING DATE: April 3, 2001

LOCATION:

Washington, DC

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date:

4/3/01

John DelPino

Official Reporter

Heritage Reporting Corporation 1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005-4018

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence were fully and accurately transcribed from the tapes and notes provided by the above named reporter in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date: 4/3/01

Emily Townsend

Official Transcriber

Heritage Reporting Corporation

PROOFREADER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the transcript of the proceedings and evidence in the above referenced case that was held before the Federal Communications Commission was proofread on the date specified below.

Date: 4/9/01

Lorenzo Anel

Official Proofreader

Heritage Reporting Corporation